UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,588	03/19/2004	Jack B. Andersen	D2A1290-1	9255
	7590 06/27/200 S OF MARK L. BERR	EXAMINER		
3811 BEE CAV SUITE 204	ES ROAD	SUTHERS, DOUGLAS JOHN		
AUSTIN, TX 7	8746	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/805,588	ANDERSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DOUGLAS SUTHERS	2615		

		DOUGLAS SUTHERS	2615	
The MAILING DATE of	this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2008 F	AILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a fina application, applicant must tim application in condition for allofor Continued Examination (Reperiods:</li> </ol>	I rejection, but prior to or on nely file one of the following i owance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Not replies: (1) an amendment, a eal (with appeal fee) in compl	ice of Appeal. To avoid aba iffidavit, or other evidence, liance with 37 CFR 41.31;	which places the or (3) a Request
<del>i</del>	3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the si Examiner Note: If box 1 is ch MONTHS OF THE FINAL RE	atutory period for reply expire la ecked, check either box (a) or (l EJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•	mailing date of the final reject EN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	tion. FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained und have been filed is the date for purposes under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any remay reduce any earned patent term adjunction of the control of the co	of determining the period of ext : (1) the expiration date of the s eply received by the Office later	ension and the corresponding a hortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mail	mount of the fee. The approp bly originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed filing the Notice of Appeal (37 Notice of Appeal has been file AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
	Clark of an a Construction Const	to also to the date of Classes	. h	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s)</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues t</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of l</li> </ol>	hat would require further cor new matter (see NOTE belov	nsideration and/or search (sew);	ee NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to appeal; and/or	place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materia	ally reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional	claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of fina	ally rejected claims.	
	ion Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		, ,	
4. The amendments are not in c	•	, ,,	on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcor				
6. Newly proposed or amended non-allowable claim(s).				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the p how the new or amended clain The status of the claim(s) is (or</li> </ol>	ns would be rejected is prov		■ will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from cons				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence because applicant failed to pre was not earlier presented. Se</li> </ol>	ovide a showing of good and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence entered because the affidavit showing a good and sufficient</li> </ol>	or other evidence failed to o reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under and was not earlier present	appeal and/or appellant fa ed. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidend REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATI</li> </ol>	•	n of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsiderat	<del>-</del>	does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Informatio	n <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, /	Art Unit 2615			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation describing "a noise shaper", of amended claim 1, would require further search and would require further consideration.